

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1

Determining the Damages Period

§ 1.01	Introduction	1-2
§ 1.02	The Outer Boundary of the Damages Period	1-19
	[1] Commercial Cases	1-19
	[2] Government Cases	1-22
	[3] Laches.	1-23
§ 1.03	Determining When Infringement Starts	1-27
§ 1.04	Public Policy Behind the Marking/Notice Requirement	1-30
	[1] Historical Background	1-31
	[2] Avoiding Innocent Infringement	1-32
	[3] Encouraging Patentees to Give Notice to the Public.	1-33
	[4] Encouraging Innovation by Aiding the Public to Identify Patented Articles.	1-34.4
§ 1.05	Marking/Notice Statute Limits on Damages	1-35
	[1] Determining if Patentee Is Subject to Section 287(a)	1-38
	[a] Threshold Analysis.	1-38
	[i] Method Claims and Apparatus Claims in Same Asserted Patent	1-40
	[ii] Asserted Method Patent with Related Apparatus Patent	1-42
	[iii] Assertion of Non-Practiced Unmarked Claims.	1-43
	[2] Satisfying the Requirements if the Marking/Notice Statute Applies	1-43
	[a] Threshold Analysis.	1-43

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[b]	Marking and Constructive Notice	1-44
		[i] Licensee/Reseller Marking.	1-44
		[ii] Package Marking	1-46
		[iii] Partial or Substantial Compliance.	1-46.2
		[iv] Combination Patents.	1-46.4
		[v] Virtual Marking	1-46.6
	[c]	Actual Notice	1-46.7
		[i] Balancing Between Notice and Declaratory Judgment.	1-46.7
		[ii] Notice to Appropriate Party	1-46.11
		[iii] Notice by Appropriate Party	1-46.12
		[iv] Content of the Communication	1-46.13
		[v] Infringer's Conduct	1-46.16
	[3]	Consequences of Not Meeting the Marking/Notice Requirements.	1-46.17
§ 1.06	Other	Limitations and Extensions	1-47
	[1]	Foreseeability and Infringement Under the Doctrine of Equivalents.	1-48
	[2]	Product-by-Process Infringement.	1-49
	[3]	Design Patents	1-51
	[4]	False Marking and Marking Estoppel.	1-53
		[a] False Marking.	1-53
		[b] Marking Estoppel.	1-58
	[5]	Pre-Issuance Damages	1-60
	[6]	Non-Statutory Double Patenting	1-62
	[7]	Relationship to Timing of Hypothetical Negotiation.	1-62.1
	[8]	Patent Expiration	1-62.2
§ 1.07		Questions of Fact and Questions of Law	1-63

CHAPTER 2**Determining the Damages Base**

§ 2.01	Introduction	2-3
§ 2.02	The Apportionment Principle	2-7
	[1] Lost Profits and Reasonable Royalty Calculations	2-11

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[2] Ascertaining the Applicable Facts.	2-13
	[3] Scope of the Patent	2-14
	[4] Categorizing the Unpatented Components	2-18
	[5] Applying the Apportionment Principle and Exceptions.	2-19
	[a] Apply the Appropriate Apportionment Principle Methodology	2-21
	[i] Damages Base Is the Smallest Salable Unit, Further Apportioned.	2-21
	[ii] Comparable Licenses	2-24
	[iii] Standards Essential Patents.	2-24
	[b] Exceptions to the General Rule— Entire Market Value Rule.	2-25
	[i] Basis for Demand.	2-25
	[ii] Functional Unit.	2-29
	[iii] Analogous to Single Functional Unit.	2-30
	[c] Other Special Circumstances.	2-31
	[i] Foreseeability	2-31
	[ii] Product Made by Patented Process or by a Patented Machine	2-34
	[iii] Punitive Damages.	2-35
	[iv] Unpatented Consumables.	2-35
	[v] Collateral or Convoyed Sales	2-35
	[vi] Apportionment in the Reasonable Royalty Context.	2-39
	[6] Determining if Limitations to the Entire Market Value Rule Apply.	2-39
	[a] Apportionment	2-39
	[b] Spare Parts/Replacement Parts	2-46
	[c] Unforeseeability	2-46
	[d] Sales to United States Government	2-47
§ 2.03	Usage Scope of the Damages Base—Indirect Infringement	2-48
	[1] Categories of Patent Infringement.	2-48
	[2] Elements of Indirect Infringement	2-49

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[a]	Elements of Induced Infringement	2-49
	[i]	Intentional Actions	2-49
	[ii]	Knowledge of the Patent	2-50
	[iii]	Knowledge that Acts Induced Infringement	2-50
	[iv]	Underlying Direct Infringement	2-51
	[b]	Contributory Infringement	2-52
	[i]	Sale, Offer or Importation	2-53
	[ii]	Act of Direct Infringement	2-55
	[iii]	Not a Staple Article, Commodity, Incapable of Substantial Non-Infringing Use	2-55
	[iv]	Material Part of the Patented Invention	2-56
	[v]	Knowledge	2-56
	[3]	Determining the Damages Base for Indirect Infringement	2-56
	[a]	Inherently Infringing Approach	2-57
	[b]	Apportionment Approach	2-57
	[c]	Hypothetical Negotiation Approach	2-58
§ 2.04		Extraterritorial Scope of the Damages Base	2-59
	[1]	Introduction	2-59
	[2]	Contributory Infringement Outside the U.S.	2-66
	[a]	Single Component	2-66
	[b]	Design Patents	2-66.1
	[c]	Process or Method Patents	2-67
	[d]	Computer Software	2-68
	[3]	Imported Products	2-73
	[4]	Systems Straddling a Border	2-73
	[5]	Sales or Offers to Sell in U.S. Made Outside U.S.	2-74
§ 2.05		Design Patents	2-78

CHAPTER 3

Established Royalty Measure of Damages

§ 3.01	Introduction	3-1
§ 3.02	Determining Whether Established Royalty Exists.	3-4
	[1] Paid or Secured Before the Infringement Began.	3-4
	[2] Paid by a Sufficient Number of Persons.	3-5
	[3] Uniformity	3-6
	[4] Not Under Threat of Suit or in Settlement of Litigation.	3-6.1
	[5] Comparable Rights.	3-6.2
§ 3.03	Effects on Adequate Compensation	3-7
	[1] Relation to Reasonable Royalty	3-7
	[2] Relation to Lost Profits	3-9

CHAPTER 4

Reasonable Royalty Measure of Damages

§ 4.01	Introduction	4-2
§ 4.02	The Hypothetical Negotiation.	4-4
§ 4.03	Calculating the Reasonable Royalty	4-10
	[1] The <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors	4-10
	[a] Overview.	4-10
	[b] Comparable Licenses	4-13
	[i] Existing Licenses (Factor 1)	4-14
	[ii] Comparable Patents (Factor 2)	4-19
	[iii] Customary Profit Allocation (Factor 12)	4-21
	[c] Scope and Duration of License (Factors 3 & 7)	4-21
	[d] Relationships Between Parties (Factors 4 & 5)	4-22
	[e] Role of Non-Patented Elements (Factors 6 & 13)	4-23
	[f] Product Profitability (Factor 8)	4-25
	[g] Value of the Patented Invention (Factors 9, 10, & 11)	4-27

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[h] Expert Testimony and the Hypothetical Negotiation (Factors 14 & 15)	4-29
	[i] Other Factors	4-31
	[i] Widespread Infringement	4-31
	[ii] Cost Savings	4-32
	[iii] Price Erosion	4-33
	[iv] Patent Population	4-33
	[v] Other	4-34
	[2] Application of the <i>Georgia-Pacific</i> Factors	4-36
	[a] Trial Court Discretion	4-36
	[b] Appellate Review	4-37
	[3] Throughput or Paid-Up Licenses	4-38
	[4] Percentage of Sales	4-40.1
§ 4.04	Other Approaches	4-41
	[1] The Analytical Approach	4-41
	[2] Mathematical Models	4-42
	[a] The 25-Percent Rule	4-42
	[b] The Nash Bargaining Solution	4-44
	[3] Percentage of Patentee's Profits	4-44.1
	[4] Allocated Cost Savings	4-45
	[5] U.S. Government as the Infringer	4-46
	[6] Licensing of "Standards Essential" Patents	4-48
	[7] Technology Licensing Model	4-50
	[8] Usage-Based Infringement	4-50.4
§ 4.05	Applying Enhancements and Limitations	4-50.5
	[1] Enhancement	4-50.5
	[2] Limitation on Reasonable Royalty	4-54
	[a] Generally	4-54
	[b] Non-Infringing Alternative	4-54
	[c] Infringer's Profits	4-56
§ 4.06	Post-Verdict Royalty	4-59

CHAPTER 5

Lost Profits Measure of Damages

§ 5.01	Introduction	5-2
§ 5.02	Application of the <i>Panduit</i> Factors	5-7
	[1] Demand for the Patented Product	5-7
	[a] Existing Demand	5-7
	[b] No Demand	5-9

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[c]	Products Made by Patented Method	5-11	
[2]		No Acceptable Non-Infringing Substitutes	5-11	
	[a]	Product Dimension	5-12	
		[i] Non-Infringing Substitutes Not Found	5-15	
		[ii] Non-Infringing Substitutes Found	5-16	
		[iii] Market Share Analysis	5-17	
		[iv] Willful Infringement Basis for Proving Absence of Non-Infringing Substitute	5-17	
	[b]	Time Dimension	5-18	
[3]		Manufacturing and Marketing Capacity to Meet the Demand	5-20	
		[a] Manufacturing Capability	5-20	
		[b] Marketing Capability	5-22	
		[c] Special Problems Related to Foreign Sales	5-23	
[4]		Determining the Amount of Lost Profits	5-24	
		[a] Determining the Patentee’s Sales “but for” the Infringement	5-27	
		[b] Lost Profits Enhancements	5-29	
			[i] In General	5-29
			[ii] Entire Market Value Rule and Conveyed Sales	5-30
			[iii] Post-Expiration Damages	5-31
		[c] Lost Profits Limitations	5-32	
		[d] Determining the Lost Profits on the Damages Base	5-33	
			[i] Price Variable	5-33
			[ii] Cost Variable	5-34
§ 5.03		Apportionment of Lost Profits Damages	5-36	
§ 5.04		Intercompany Issues	5-37	

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

CHAPTER 6

Limitations on Damages

§ 6.01	Introduction	6-2
§ 6.02	Multiple Recovery and Authorization—	
	Multiple Infringers	6-5
	[1] Types of Infringing Acts and	
	Infringers	6-5
	[a] Types of Patent Claims	6-7
	[b] Types of Infringing Acts	6-10
	[2] Creating Authorization.	6-15
	[a] First Sale of Patented	
	Product—Exhaustion	6-15
	[b] Implied License	6-21
	[i] Creation by Product Sale	6-21
	[ii] Creation Through Product	
	Circumstances.	6-22
	[iii] Creation Through Equitable	
	Estoppel	6-23
	[iv] Creation Through Course of	
	Conduct.	6-23
	[3] Boundaries of Authorization	6-23
	[a] Claims and Patents.	6-24
	[i] Apparatus and Method	
	Claims of the Same	
	Patent	6-24
	[ii] Method Patent.	6-24
	[iii] Combination Patent	6-25
	[b] Use Restriction.	6-26
	[c] Life of the Patented Product	6-27
	[d] License Restrictions	6-27
	[4] Repair/Reconstruction	6-28
	[a] Basic Principles	6-28
	[b] Effects Both Under Exhaustion	
	and Implied License.	6-32
	[5] Product Sales by Licensee.	6-32
§ 6.03	Multiple Infringing Acts.	6-34
§ 6.04	Indemnification	6-36
	[1] Obligation of Indemnification	6-37
	[a] Uniform Commercial Code	6-37
	[b] Contractual Arrangements	
	Between Parties	6-39

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	[2] Limitations on Indemnification	6-42
	[3] Federal Preemption	6-43
§ 6.05	Joint Infringement	6-46

CHAPTER 7

Enhancements to Damages

§ 7.01	Introduction	7-3
§ 7.02	Increased Damages Under 35 U.S.C. § 284	7-5
	[1] Introduction	7-5
	[2] Two-Part Inquiry: Entitlement and Quantification.	7-7
	[3] Pre- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Enhanced Damages for Willful Infringement	7-9
	[a] Knowledge and Duty	7-16
	[b] Affirmative Actions to Avoid Infringement	7-21
	[i] Opinion of Counsel	7-21
	[ii] Effect of Adverse Inference	7-23
	[iii] Competency of the Opinion	7-26
	[4] Post- <i>Seagate</i> Entitlement to Damages	7-29
	[a] Objectively High Likelihood That Acts Constituted Infringement	7-31
	[b] Risk Known or Obvious	7-32
	[5] Post- <i>Halo</i> Standard for Enhanced Damages	7-33
	[6] Quantification of Enhanced Damages	7-35
	[a] Award Amount Is Discretionary	7-35
	[b] The <i>Read</i> Factors	7-35
	[i] Deliberate Copying	7-37
	[ii] Infringer’s Good Faith Belief in Invalidity or Non-Infringement	7-38
	[iii] Infringer’s Behavior	7-38
	[iv] Infringer’s Size and Financial Condition	7-39
	[v] Closeness of the Case	7-40
	[vi] Duration of Infringer’s Misconduct	7-40

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

	[vii] Remedial Actions	7-41
	[viii] Infringer's Motivation for Harm	7-41
	[ix] Infringer's Attempt to Conceal Misconduct.	7-41
§ 7.03	Attorney Fees Under 35 U.S.C. § 285	7-43
	[1] Introduction	7-43
	[2] Determination of Whether the Case is Exceptional—Historical Evolution of Issues	7-46
	[a] Patentee Is the Prevailing Party.	7-46
	[b] Accused Infringer Is the Prevailing Party	7-50
	[i] Inequitable Conduct	7-51
	[ii] Patent Misuse	7-54
	[c] Common Issues	7-56
	[i] Litigation Misconduct	7-57
	[ii] Defenses	7-58.1
	[iii] Appeals	7-58.2
	[3] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional— <i>Brooks Furniture</i> Era 2005-2014	7-58.2
	[a] Standard	7-58.3
	[b] Burden of Proof	7-58.3
	[4] Determining Whether the Case is Exceptional—Post- <i>Octane</i> <i>Fitness</i> Era	7-58.3
	[a] Standard	7-58.3
	[b] Standard of Review	7-58.4
	[c] Post- <i>Octane Fitness</i> Decisions	7-58.5
	[i] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Plaintiff.	7-58.5
	[ii] Fees Shifted from Prevailing Defendant	7-58.6
	[iii] Fee-Shifting Denied	7-58.7
	[5] Determination of the Attorney Fee Amount.	7-58.7
	[a] Generally.	7-58.7
	[b] Special Circumstances	7-58.9
	[i] Dual Awards.	7-58.9
	[ii] Expert Witness Fees.	7-58.9
	[iii] In-House Counsel.	7-58.10
	[iv] Contingent Fees	7-58.11
§ 7.04	Prejudgment Interest Under Damages Statute.	7-58.12
	[1] Introduction	7-58.12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

[2] Awarding Prejudgment Interest is the Norm 7-59

[a] Denial Proper 7-59

[b] Denial Not Proper 7-60

[c] Partial Denial 7-60

[3] Damage Components 7-62

[a] Excluding Punitive Components 7-62

[b] Compensation for Past Damages 7-62

[4] Interest Rate and Compounding 7-63

CHAPTER 8

Proving Damages

§ 8.01 Introduction 8-2

[1] Standards of Proof and Review 8-2

§ 8.02 Admissibility under Federal Rule of Evidence 403 8-5

§ 8.03 Expert Testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 8-7

[1] Historical Admissibility 8-7

[2] Applicability of Admissibility Standards 8-9

[a] Expert Testimony Admissible 8-11

[i] Disputed Facts 8-11

[ii] Market Research Studies 8-12

[iii] Disputed Methodology 8-12

[iv] Speculative Testimony 8-13

[b] Expert Testimony Inadmissible 8-13

[i] Invalid or Erroneous Conclusion 8-13

[ii] Unsupported Methodology or Speculation 8-14

[iii] Failure to Relate Conclusion to Supporting Evidence 8-14.1

[c] Qualifications 8-14.1

[3] Case Outcome 8-14.1

§ 8.04 Record Retention 8-15

[1] Adverse Inference 8-15

[2] Defenses 8-16

§ 8.05 Bifurcation 8-17

[1] Judicial Economy 8-17

§ 8.06 Discovery and Local Rules 8-19

PATENT INFRINGEMENT

CHAPTER 9

Damages Accounting Issues

§ 9.01	Introduction	9-2
§ 9.02	Assessing Expert Testimony: Accounting Standards for Reliability	9-5
	[1] Generally	9-5
	[2] Admissibility Under Federal Rule of Evidence 702	9-5
	[a] Use of the term “Opinion” by Accountants	9-5
	[b] Professional Qualifications, Rules, and Ethical Standards	9-6
	[i] GAAP	9-6
	[ii] AICPA Code of Professional Conduct Rules	9-6
	[iii] MCS/SCSS	9-6
	[iv] Other Certifications	9-8
	[3] Probative Value of Expert Testimony and Limitations	9-10
	[a] Rule 26	9-10
	[b] International Methods	9-12
	[c] Completeness and Disclosure	9-12
	[d] Valuation	9-12
	[e] Skill at Persuading the Court	9-14
§ 9.03	Accounting Issues in Reasonable Royalty Determination	9-15
	[1] Percentage of Sales (or Revenues) Method	9-16
	[a] Royalty Rate	9-16
	[b] Royalty Base	9-18
	[i] Revenue Recognition Principles	9-18
	[ii] Other Issues in Calculating Applicable Sales	9-19
	[2] Percentage of Profits Method	9-21
	[a] Production Costs	9-21
	[b] Fixed and Variable Costs	9-21
	[c] Incremental Cost	9-23
	[d] Standard Cost	9-24
	[e] Activity Based Costing	9-24
	[f] Other Costs	9-24
	[3] Percentage of Cost Savings Method	9-25
	[4] Nash Bargaining Solution	9-26

TABLE OF CONTENTS

xxvii

§ 9.04	Accounting Issues in Lost Profits	
	Determination	9-28
	[1] <i>Panduit</i> Factors	9-28
	[a] Variables To Include	9-28
	[b] Incremental Profits	9-29
	[2] Future Lost Profits and Prejudgment	
	Interest	9-30
§ 9.05	Sources of Information to Support Estimation	
	of Damages	9-31
§ 9.06	Internal Accounting for Damages Awards	9-32

CHAPTER 10

Injunctive Relief and the International Trade Commission

§ 10.01	Introduction and Overview	10-1
§ 10.02	Injunctive Relief	10-3
	[1] Permanent Injunctions	10-3
	[a] Historical Background	10-3
	[b] Equitable Standards Test	10-5
	[i] Irreparable Injury	10-10
	[ii] Remedies Available	
	at Law Inadequate	10-13
	[iii] Balance of Hardships	10-16
	[iv] Public Interest	10-17
	[c] Non-Practicing Entities and	
	Permanent Injunctions	10-18
	[2] Temporary Injunctions	10-19
§ 10.03	International Trade Commission Actions	10-22
	[1] In General	10-22
	[2] Special Issues with Respect to	
	Non-Practicing Entities	10-26
INDEX	I-1